## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

2008 MAY 13 AM 9: 16

FILED

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE RECEIVED DATE 5/7/2008		
CASE NO.: 07cv2257 IEG (BLM) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner		
CASE TITLE: Thomas v. Kramer, et al		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: <u>Traverse</u>		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
✓ Loc	cal Rule	Discrepancy
5. 5		Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation  Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
_		• •
5.4	4 1 or 47.1	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.  Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
_	1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7.		Missing table of contents
<b></b>	5.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
_	0.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	5.1	Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
V	•	OTHER: Late per order dated 2/19/2008 (Docket #7).
X	***	OTHER: Late per order dated 2/19/2008 (Docket #/).
		Date forwarded: 5/7/2008
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: ►		
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
]	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant  CHAMBERS OF: BAZBAZA MAJOZ
]	Dated: 5/9/08	ву: <del>Гр</del>
	cc: All Parties	